

Sistema AVA3 (Autovalutazione – Valutazione - Accredитamento)

1. What is it?

A system to **improve** the **quality** of teaching, research and social impact/third mission, and other institutional and managerial activities carried out by university through the application of a **Quality Assurance (QA) model**.

2. How is it applied?

A system based on internal procedures for the **design, management monitoring, self-assessment, and improvement of educational and scientific activities**, along with an external evaluation (ANVUR) conducted in a clear and transparent manner.

3. What does it entail?

The evaluation results in an **Accreditation judgment**, which is the outcome of a process that **recognizes** a University's possession (Initial Accreditation) or maintenance (Periodic Accreditation) of the **Quality Requirements** necessary to perform its institutional functions


The points of focus defined by **ANVUR** (*National Agency for University and Research system valuation*) **for doctoral programs**



AMBITO	DESCRIZIONE AMBITO	PUNTO DI ATTENZIONE	DESCRIZIONE PUNTO DI ATTENZIONE
D. Ph.D.	Quality assurance in doctoral programs	D. Ph.D. 1	Design
		D. Ph.D. 2	Planning and Organization
		D. Ph.D. 3	Monitoring and improvement



As **doctoral candidates**, we are **active participants** in the final stage of **monitoring and improving** activities. Specifically, we are required to participate in **surveys and questionnaires** aimed at capturing our opinions



Our opinions will influence **the Annual Self-Assessment Report and the Cyclical Review Report**.

The areas covered will be:

- Brief commentary on **monitoring indicators**
- **Analysis of outcomes** from surveys of first and second-year doctoral candidates' opinions
- **Results of monitoring** regarding the allocation of funds for doctoral training and research activities, as well as the methods of fund utilization
- Concise self-assessment of the PhD program highlighting **strengths and weaknesses**

The presence of weaknesses and critical points should involve **subsequent corrective actions** to be implemented, ideally before the start of the next doctoral cycle, **taking into account the opinions** of doctoral candidates..



Stay Tuned and wait next survey
to share your opinion



IT'S IMPORTANT FOR OUR DOCTORAL PROGRAM!