

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PALERMO

DEPARTMENT	Giurisprudenza	
ACADEMIC YEAR	2017/2018	
SINGLE CYCLE (7TH LEVEL) COURSE	LAW	
SUBJECT	EGAL REASONING AND COGNITIVE SCIENCE	
TYPE OF EDUCATIONAL ACTIVITY	D	
AMBIT	20016-A scelta dello studente (dm270)	
CODE	21792	
SCIENTIFIC SECTOR(S)	IUS/20	
HEAD PROFESSOR(S)	BRIGAGLIA MARCO Professore Associato Univ. di PALERMO	
OTHER PROFESSOR(S)		
CREDITS	6	
INDIVIDUAL STUDY (Hrs)	102	
COURSE ACTIVITY (Hrs)	48	
PROPAEDEUTICAL SUBJECTS		
MUTUALIZATION		
YEAR	5	
TERM (SEMESTER)	2° semester	
ATTENDANCE	Not mandatory	
EVALUATION	Out of 30	
TEACHER OFFICE HOURS	BRIGAGLIA MARCO	
	Friday 09:30 12:30 Piazza Bologni 8Stanza 4, piano 4	

DOCENTE: Prof. MARCO BRIGAGLIA

PREREQUISITES	Basic knowledge of legal theory and basic knowledge of technical legal terms.
LEARNING OUTCOMES	Knowledge and understanding: knowledge and understanding of the main models of the cognitive structure of legal reasoning and decision-making. Applying knowledge and understanding: ability to apply knowledge and understanding in order to reconstruct the decision-making patterns underlying legal decisions, and to identify possible biases. Making judgments: ability to develop a critical attitude towards legal decision- making, taking into special account the degree to which the organizational context and the specific training of legal officials protect their decision-making from biases and cognitive distortions. Communication: ability to communicate the acquired knowledge in a clear and exhaustive way, and to merge the technical language of cognitive science with that of legal theory. Lifelong learning skills: ability to combine the theoretical-conceptual approach of traditional legal theory with the empirical methods of the cognitive sciences.
ASSESSMENT METHODS	 Exam type: oral exam. Minimum number of questions: two. Evaluation: Grades on a scale between 18 and 30 cum laude. Evaluation Grid: Excellent: 30-30 cum laude. Excellent knowledge and understanding, excellent communication and argumentative skills, proper use of technical language. Very good: 26-29. Good knowledge and understanding, good communication and argumentative skills, proper use of technical language. Good: 24-25. Basic knowledge and understanding, average communication skills, limited argumentative skills. Average: 21-23. Limited basic knowledge and understanding, sufficient communication skills, poor argumentative skills. Fair: 18-20. Minimal basic knowledge and understanding, poor communication skills, poor argumentative skills.
EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVES The course is aimed at presenting the main contributions of the cogn sciences to an understanding of legal reasoning: psychological mode normative reasoning; heuristics and biases in legal reasoning and de making; the role of emotions and imagination; the cognitive structure concepts; the psychological grounding of defeasible reasoning; the rebetween legal reasoning and AI. (The course is connected with the E KA2 Project RECOGNISE - Legal Reasoning and Cognitive Science www.recognise.academy/), and will rely on didactic materials and tea collaborations developed within the project.)	
TEACHING METHODS	Lectures and seminars
SUGGESTED BIBLIOGRAPHY	 The course will be based on the following readings. Alternative readings replacing some of the ones in the list may be indicated during the course, on the basis of specific interests expressed by students. Wistrich, Andrew J., and Jeffery J. Rachlinski. "Implicit Bias in Judicial Decision Making: How It Affects Judgment and What Judges Can Do About It." In Enhancing Justice: Reducing Bias, edited by Sarah E. Redfield (Chicago: American Bar Association, 2017), 87-130. Maroney, Terry A. "The Persistent Cultural Script of Judicial Dispassion." California Law Review 99, no.2 (2011): 629–681. Brigaglia Marco, and Bruno Celano, 'Reasons, rules, exceptions: towards a psychological account', in Analisi e Diritto 14 (2017), pp. 131-144. Haidt, Jonathan. "The Emotional Dog and Its Rational Tail: A Social Intuitionist Approach to Moral Judgment." Psychological Review 108, no.4 (2008): 814-834. Danzinger S., Levav J., Avnaim-Pesso L. 2011. Extraneous Factors in Judicial Decisions, «Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America», 108, 17, 2011, 6889-6892.

SYLLABUS

Hrs	Frontal teaching
6	The psychology of normative decision-making, from the moral to the legal domain
6	Heuristics and biases in adjudication
6	Emotions, intuitions, and imagination in legal reasoning and decision-making
6	The cognitive structure of legal concepts
6	Rules and exceptions: the psychological grounding of defeasible reasoning
6	Legal reasoning and Al
6	Neuroscience, free will, and criminal responsibility

SYLLABUS

Hrs	Frontal teaching	Fron
6	The cognitive roots of legal institutions	itive roots of legal institutions